

## Capital Facilities Grants Prioritization State Library Board Evaluation Rubric

REQUIRED CRITERIA	SCORE			
	12	9	6	3
<b>Goals of the Application / Capital Project</b>	There is evidence that the project activities described provide outstanding contributions to the library and community, OR the project has a critical infrastructure requirement.	There is evidence that the project activities described provide strong contributions to the library and community, OR the project has an essential infrastructure requirement.	There is evidence that the project activities described provide some meaningful contributions to the library and community, OR the project has an important infrastructure requirement.	There is some evidence that the project activities described provide worthwhile contributions to the library or community, OR the project has a worthwhile infrastructure component.
<b>Public Benefit</b>	There is evidence of very strong internal <u>and</u> external public benefit for the project on a very broad scale.	There is evidence of strong internal <u>or</u> external public benefit for the project on a wide scale.	There is evidence of sufficient internal and external public benefit for the project on a limited scale.	There is evidence that neither internal nor external public benefit for the project is sufficient to justify funding at the level requested.
<b>Strategic Value</b>	The evidence indicates a wide array of strategic value in the project, including but not limited to strong patron engagement and staff achievement, valuable community partnership, and advantageous use of the library environment.	The evidence indicates some areas of strategic value in the project, including but not limited to strong patron engagement and staff achievement, relevant community activity, and opportunistic use of the library environment.	The evidence indicates few areas of strategic value in the project, including but not limited to patron engagement or staff achievement, relevant community activity, or worthwhile use of the library environment.	The evidence provides some support for strong, but not strategic, value of the project.

OPTIONAL CRITERIA	SCORE			
	4	3	2	1
<b>Costs/Efficiencies</b>	The evidence indicates that the project is in the top one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits.	The evidence indicates that the project is in the second one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits.	The evidence indicates that the project is in the third one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits.	The evidence indicates that the project is in the bottom one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits.
<b>Potential</b>	The evidence given shows strong potential for the project to improve quality of library services, or facilities.	The evidence given shows moderate potential for the project to maintain and improve library services, or facilities.	The evidence given shows some potential for the project to maintain or improve library services, or facilities.	The evidence given shows a small potential for the project to maintain library services, or facilities.
<b>Other</b>	The additional evidence strongly suggests that the project should receive a much more favorable evaluation.	The additional evidence suggests that the project should receive a more favorable evaluation.	The additional evidence may suggest that the project should receive a slightly more favorable evaluation.	The additional evidence is not clearly relevant to project prioritization.

#### Weightings

Goals of Project - 25%

Public Benefit - 25%

Strategic Value - 25%

Costs/Efficiencies + Potential + Other - 25%